

Appendix A: Timeliness Measures

Court Function Indicator*	Initial Baseline Rate or Level Baseline period: 7/1/2008 to 6/30/2010	Target Improvement (if applicable) [Projected levels of improvement in performance measure by end of granting period]	Annual Rate or Level Year 1 [Level of performance measure after one year of program implementation] (The Annual Rate for Year One has been adjusted to reflect SFY dat. Previously reported data was by CY. Changes are noted in green)	Timeframe [Period of time covered by data]	Annual Rate or Level Year 2 [Level of performance measure after one year of program implementation]	Timeframe [Period of time covered by data]	Difference From Previous Annual Rate [Difference in annual level at end of fiscal year from rate at start of fiscal year. If appropriate, note significant change.]	Difference From Baseline [Difference in the annual level from the baseline. If appropriate, note significant changes.]	CIP Projects Targeting Measure (if applicable) [If this measure was targeted by an intervention, please list the project or activity impacting the measure.]
		Timeliness Indicators							
4G. Time to First Permanency Hearing	Baseline Median is 324 days/83% within 365 days or less for: Length of time in days from the time filing of the original petition to the first permanency hearing is completed.	100% of Permanency Hearings will be held within 365 days (12 months) of the filing of the original petition.	Median is 316 days/93% within 365 days or less	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	Median is 318 days/92% within 365 days or less	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	1% decrease in the % of children with their 1 st permanency hearing within 365 days from the prior period	Median is 6 days< than the baseline days and 9%< than the baseline percentage.	
Time to Subsequent Permanency Hearings	Baseline Median is 309 days/89% of subsequent hearings are held	100% of Subsequent Permanency Hearings will	Median is 310 days/90% of subsequent	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	Median is 310 days/ 90% of subsequent	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	No change in the % of subsequent hearings	Median is 1 day> than the baseline days and	

	within 365 days of the prior hearing for: Length of time in days from when the child has their first permanency hearing to the second/third etc. until final permanency is achieved.	be held within 365 days (12 months) of the first Permanency Hearing and every 365 days (12 months) thereafter until final permanency is achieved.	hearings held within 365 days or less.		hearings held within 365 days of the prior hearing		held within 365 days as compared to the prior period.	1% greater than the baseline percentage.	
4A. Time to Permanent Placement	Baseline Median is 456 days, 45 % within 365 days; 68% within 730 days for: Time from the filing of the original petition to legal permanency.	50% of Children in Foster Care will be in a Permanent Placement within 12 months; 75% of children in foster care will be in a permanent placement within 24 months of entering foster care.	Median is 422 days/ 44% within 365 days; 71% within 730 days.	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	Median is 640 days/29% within 365 days; 58% within 730 days	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	34% decrease in the % of children reaching permanent placement within 365 days; 18% decrease in time to permanent placement within 730 days compared to the prior period.	Median is 184 days > than the baseline; 36 % more than the baseline for 365 days; 10% greater than the baseline for 730 days.	
4H. Time to Termination of Parental Rights Petition	Where reunification has not been achieved, the Baseline	For those children where reunification	Median is 429 days/ 55% within 15	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	Median is 479 days/49% within 15	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	11% decrease in the % of petitions	Median is 25 day > than the baseline	

	Median is 454 days; 50% within 15 months; 78% within 24 months for: Time from filing of the original petition to filing of the petition to terminate parental rights.	has not been achieved 75% of TPR Petitions are filed if the child has been in foster care for 15 of the most recent 22 months; 100% of TPR petitions are filed 24 months.	months; 83% within 24 months.		months; 82% within 24 months.		filed within 15 months of neglect filing; 1 % decrease in the % of petitions filed within 24 months of the neglect filing compared to the prior period.	and 1% < than the baseline for 24 months and 4% greater than the baseline for 24 months.	
4I. Time to Termination of Parental Rights	Where reunification has not been achieved, the Baseline Median is 668 days, 8% within 12 months; 51% within 24 months; 81% within 36 months for: Time from the filing of the original petition to the termination of parental rights.	25% Children for whom a TPR is filed will have their cases disposed within 12 months of filing; 75% of children for whom a TPR is filed will have their cases disposed in 24 months of the filing; 100% of children for	Median is 755 days/ 10% within 12 months; 47% within 24 months; 78% within 36 months.	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	Median is 717 days/9% within 12 months; 51% within 24 months; 82% within 36 months.	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	10% decrease in the % of TPRs granted within 12 months; 9% increase in the % of TPRs granted within 24 months; 5 % increase in the % of TPRs granted within 36 months compared to	Median is 49 days > than the baseline; 1 % >than the baseline within 12 months; no change from the baseline within 24 months; 1% > than the baseline within 36 months.	

		whom a TPR is filed will have their cases disposed in 36 months of the filing.					the prior period.		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----------------------	--	--

Narrative: The Court Improvement Program has begun developing a comprehensive continuous quality improvement (CQI) data analysis and reporting system. The first step in the process was to meet with and discuss performance outcome measures with key stakeholders who are members of the SCIP Multidisciplinary Task Force discuss existing data collection and reporting capacity within the Judicial Branch and partner agencies. Currently, all data that the CQI relies on will be derived from the court’s data system. There will be further discussions about how SACWIS and AFCARS data may be used to assist with CQI. The Task Force identified additional performance measures beyond those required in the grant application that will inform ongoing data collection, reporting and CIP priorities and projects. The additional performance measures are described in Appendix B.

Appendix B: Performance Measures

Court Function Indicator	Initial Baseline Rate or Level Baseline period: 7/1/2008 to 6/30/2010	Target Improvement (if applicable) [Projected levels of improvement in performance measure by end of granting period]	Annual Rate or Level Year 1 [Level of performance measure after one year of program implementation]	Timeframe [Period of time covered by data]	Annual Rate or Level Year 2 [Level of performance measure after one year of program implementation]	Timeframe [Period of time covered by data]	Difference From Previous Annual Rate [Difference in annual level at end of fiscal year from rate at start of fiscal year. If appropriate, note significant change.]	Difference From Baseline [Difference in the annual level from the baseline. If appropriate, note significant changes.]	CIP Projects Targeting Measure (if applicable) [If this measure was targeted by an intervention, please list the project or activity impacting the measure.]
		Child Safety Measures							
Measure 1A: Child Safety While Under the Court Jurisdiction, i.e. Children will remain safe while under the court’s jurisdiction.	Baseline Percentage is: 11% of cases where an OTC or Motion to Reopen/Modify Disposition was filed subsequent to the adjudication of a neglect/uncared for/abuse petition and issuance of specific steps.	Less than 5% of children’s cases will have an OTC or Motion to Reopen/Modify Disposition filed subsequent to the adjudication of a neglect/uncared for/abuse petition and issuance of specific steps .	6.4% of cases where an OTC or motion to reopen and modify was filed.	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	7.9% of cases where an OTC or a motion to reopen/modify was filed.	July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2013	23% increase in the % of cases where an OTC/Modification was filed compared to prior period.		
Measure 1B: Child Safety After Release from the Court’s Jurisdiction, i.e. Children are safe from abuse and	Baseline Percentage is: 3% for children where a subsequent neglect/uncared for/abuse petition	Less than 5% of children will have a subsequent neglect/uncared for/abuse petition	1.6 % of children have a subsequent neglect/uncared for petition filed within 12	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	2% of children have a subsequent neglect filed within 12 months exit	July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2013	25% increase in the % of children who had a subsequent		

neglect after court jurisdiction ends.	is filed within 12 months of the court case being closed.	filed within 12 months of the court case being closed.	months of exit.				neglect petition filed within 12 months of exit compared to the prior period.		
		<i>Timeliness Indicators</i>							
Measure 2A: Achieve Child Permanency, i.e. Permanency is achieved when children are reunited with their families, are adopted, or are placed with permanent guardians	Baseline percentage is 77% for children achieving permanency by reunification (with or without protective supervision), adoption of transfer of guardianship.	100% of Children will exit care to a permanent placement.	74% of children achieve permanency by reunification, transfer of guardianship or adoption.	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	76% of children achieve permanency by reunification, transfer of guardianship or adoption	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	2.7 % increase in the % of children achieving permanency by reunification, TOG of adoption	1% < than the baseline	
		<i>Due Process Measures</i>							
Measure 3B: Service of Process to parties, i.e. Percentage of child abuse and neglect cases in which both parents receive written service of process of the original petition.	Baseline Measure is 81% for both parents receive written service of process of the original neglect/uncared for/abuse petition.	100% of parents receive written service of process of the original neglect/uncared for/abuse petition.	80.8% of parents receive written service of process of the original neglect/uncared for petition.	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	80.1% of parents receive written notice.	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	0.8% decrease in the % of parents receiving written notice compared to the prior period.	1.1% < than the baseline.	
Measure 3A: Number of Judges Per Case	Baseline Measure is 46% for neglect/uncared for/abuse cases	75% of all cases will have one judge per neglect/uncared	46.3% of cases have one judge presiding over the OTC,	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	53.8% of cases have one judge	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	16% increase in the % of cases that	7.8% > than the baseline.	

	have one judge presiding over the OTC hearing, adjudication and disposition hearing.	for/abuse case from filing to disposition of the case.	adjudication and disposition hearing.				have only one judge compared to the prior period		
	Quality of Legal Representation Measures								
Measure 3C: Early appointment of Advocates for Children.	Baseline Measures is 100% of neglect/uncared for/abuse cases in which an attorney is appointed for the children in advance of the emergency removal hearing child.	100% of neglect/uncared for/abuse cases will have an attorney appointed for the children in advance of the emergency removal hearing.	99.6% of cases have an attorney appointed in advance of the emergency removal hearing.	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	100% of cases have an attorney appointed in advance of the emergency removal hearing.	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	0.4% > Increase in the % of children that have an attorney appointed prior to removal hearing compared to prior period.	.5> than the baseline.	
Measure 3D: Early Appointment of Counsel for Parents, i.e. Percentage of parents in neglect/uncared for/abuse cases are represented by attorneys in advance of or at the emergency removal hearing.	Baseline Measure is 78% of parents in neglect/uncared for/abuse cases are represented in advance of or at the emergency removal hearing. ¹	100% of parents in neglect/uncared for/abuse cases will have representation in advance of or at the emergency removal hearing.	92.3% of parents have an attorney appointed in advance of the emergency removal hearing.	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	97% of cases have an attorney appointed prior to or at the emergency removal hearing.	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	5% increase in the % of parents that have an attorney appointed prior to the removal hearing than the previous year.	19 % > than the baseline.	
Measure 3I:Continuity of	The percentage of cases in which the	50% of children will be	See attached PowerPoint	July 1,2011 to	Data to be collected and	July 1, 2012 to			

¹ CGS §46b-135 states that a parent, parent(s) or legal guardian in a neglect/uncared for/abuse proceeding have a right to counsel. Counsel for parents will be provided by the Office of the Chief Public Defender (OCPD) if the parent, parent(s) or legal guardian can demonstrate that they are eligible for the appointment of an attorney at no charge to them. Data which indicates whether a parent, parent(s) or the legal guardian retained counsel on their own or were eligible for appointment of an attorney free of charge is not available using the court’s data system.

Advocates for Children.	same attorney represents the child throughout the case. ²	represented by the same attorney throughout the case.	presentation.	June 30, 2012	reported in the Annual Report	June 30, 2013			
Measure 3J: Continuity of Counsel for Parent(s)	The percentage of cases in which the same attorney represents the parent(s) throughout the case. ³	50% of parent(s) will be represented by the same attorney throughout the case.	See attached PowerPoint presentation	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	Data to be collected and reported in the Annual Report	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013			
	Family Engagement Measures								
Measure 3B: Service of Process to parties, i.e. Percentage of child abuse and neglect cases in which both parents receive written service of process of the original petition.	Baseline Measure is 81% for both parents receive written service of process of the original neglect/uncared for/abuse petition.	100% of parents receive written service of process of the original neglect/uncared for/abuse petition.	80.8% of parents receive written service of process of the original neglect/uncared for petition.	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	80.1% of parents receive written service of process of the original neglect/uncared for petition	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	.8% decrease in the % of parents that received written notice compared to the prior period.	.9 < than the baseline	
Measure 3H: Presence of parties During Hearings	Attendance by parents at each type of substantive hearing. Attendance by foster parents at each type of		See attached PowerPoint presentation	July 1,2011 to June 30, 2012	Data to be collected and reported in the Annual Report	July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013			

2 This measure is currently not collected in the court’s data system. The measure will be collected in the future through case file review.

3 This measure is currently not collected in the court’s data system. The measure will be collected in the future through case file review.

	substantive hearing. ⁴								
--	--------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

4 This measure is currently not collected in the court’s data system. The measure will be collected in the future through case file review.